


Features of Effective Instruction


Participant Notes











Features of Effective Instruction Explicit instruction with modeling Systematic instruction with scaffolding Multiple opportunities to practice and respond Immediate and corrective feedback















-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
_
_
_
-
-
-







Hallmarks of Systematic Instruction With Scaffolding	2,0
Systematic instruction:	Y
 Knowledge and skills presented in a logical order 	
 Higher-utility skills presented before more difficult, less frequently used skills 	
Scaffolding:	
 Complex tasks broken down into simpler, smaller steps 	
 Support extended and gradually removed as students become proficient 	









Formative Feedback • Giving rewards and punishments • Expressing approval and disapproval Formative Feedback • Telling students they are right or wrong and describing why • Telling students what they have and have not achieved • Specifying or implying a better way • Helping students develop ways to improve Positive Feedback • Varied forms of feedback: • Verbal • Nonverbal • Nonverbal • Written • Varied ways to share feedback: • Whole group • Small groups • Pairs • Individuals	
Formative Feedback Telling students they are right or wrong and describing why Telling students what they have and have not achieved Specifying or implying a better way Helping students develop ways to improve Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	Evaluative Feedback
Formative Feedback Telling students they are right or wrong and describing why Telling students what they have and have not achieved Specifying or implying a better way Helping students develop ways to improve Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Formative Feedback Telling students they are right or wrong and describing why Telling students what they have and have not achieved Specifying or implying a better way Helping students develop ways to improve Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	Giving rewards and punishments
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	Expressing approval and disapproval
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	 1: Features of Effective Instruction © 2016 UT System/TEA 16
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verteal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	Formative Feedback
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	 Formative Feedback
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	Telling students they are right or
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	wrong and describing why
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	Telling students what they have and
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	 Specifying or implying a better way
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	 improve
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
Positive Feedback Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals	
 Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	1: Features of Effective Instruction ⊕ 2016 UT System/TEA 17
 Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
 Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
 Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
 Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
 Varied forms of feedback: Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
 Verbal Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
 Nonverbal Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
 Written Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
 Varied ways to share feedback: Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
 Whole group Small groups Pairs Individuals 	
• Small groups • Pairs • Individuals	
• Pairs • Individuals	
• Individuals	
1: Features of Effective Instruction (b) 2016 UT System/TEA 18	Andriadais
	 1: Features of Effective Instruction © 2016 UT System/TEA 18












Features of Effective Instruction

Handouts





Activities to Check Understanding

3-2-I Blastoff!

Students state three things they learned, two questions they have, and one thing they will use.

Choral Responses with Signals

At a signal from the teacher, students respond. This reduces some students blurting out answers while others are still thinking.

Dueling Charts

This is a quick way to energize students while assessing their concerns or knowledge. Pose a question or topic. Then have students stand, throw a soft ball as they say their comment, and sit. Scribes, two to a chart, write the responses quickly.

Exit Tickets

Have students complete and turn in a quick accountability activity as they leave a station or room.

Look, Lean, Whisper

Have students look at their partners, lean toward their partners, and whisper the answer.

Overhead Accountability

When presenting new materials, have students discuss a question or provide examples with a partner. Roam the room, listening and writing appropriate responses with the person's name. Use a document camera to share the responses as a review, recognizing the contributions of those you highlighted.

Partner Feedback

Whenever possible, allow students to work with a partner when learning or practicing new skills. Teach the partners how to provide feedback to each other. Pair the partners carefully with one partner having slightly stronger skills than the other. Change partners regularly.

Pinch Papers

On a sheet of paper, students write numbers, yes and no, letters, words, or whatever you want them to respond to. All students "pinch" the correct answer. Learning looks are allowed. See the Vocabulary section of the Academies for examples.

Pocket Children

The teacher has one card for each target student in his or her pocket. On the card are skills the student must practice (e.g., words to read, letter sounds, math facts). Throughout the day, the teacher works with the student to practice the skills.

Popsicle Sticks and Mystery Cups

Students' names are put on popsicle sticks. There is a cup within a cup. Most sticks are placed in the inside smaller cup; others, those that you want to be sure to call on, are placed in the larger cup.

Quick-Writes

Students write for a minute or so about what they have learned, noticed, etc.

Rapid-Fire Rehearsal

Students have a few minutes to "memorize" important terms. They practice reciting the items with the whole group and with a partner.

SLANT

This is a behavior management and metacognitive strategy. When given a signal, students sit up, lean forward, activate their thinking (What did they just learn? What comments, questions, or concerns do they have?), name key information (share aloud), and track the talker.

Snowball Fight

Students write one thing they learned. Then they ball up the sheet of paper and, all standing in a circle, throw them around. Each student retrieves one ball of paper and shares it with the group.

Team Responses

Place students on teams and give each student either a number or a letter designation. Pose a question and have them derive an answer together. Everyone in the group should feel confident answering it. Then randomly call out a letter or number and each person who has that number answers.

Think-Aloud

This is a way to model metacognitive strategies, or to make your thinking visible. Examples of when to use a think-aloud include when solving comprehension problems, thinking through operations in mathematics, or making a decision.

Think, Turn, Talk

This is helpful for students who need more thinking time or are insecure in providing answers. Allow students a few seconds to think silently and then have them turn to their partners and talk about the prompt. Teachers can listen in and then call on students who have the correct answer, focusing on struggling students who rarely participate.

Thumbs-Up, Thumbs-Down

Make a statement or ask a question and have students respond with either a thumbs-up or thumbs-down. Call on students to share their reasoning.

Whip Around or Pass

Students rapidly respond with no intervening comments. When students have no response or someone else said theirs, they say, "pass."

Whiteboard

For short responses (e.g., a word or phrase), have students write their responses on whiteboards. When you signal, have them hold up their whiteboards for you to quickly evaluate their responses.

Adapted from Archer & Hughes, 2011; Ellis, 1991; O'Connor, 2014.

Checklist for Effective Instruction

	•	•	1 4 4 •
(arou	nıng	tor	Instruction
U 1 UU	אַנוויק	101	Instruction

	Group students based on instructional purpose (e.g., one-on-one, pairs, small groups) and needs (e.g., use small same-ability groups for struggling learners). Use flexible grouping to allow students to be members of more than one group.
Ex	plicit and Systematic Instruction
	Review previous learning and prerequisite knowledge and skills. Keep reviews brief, frequent, and spaced over time.
	Reteach when necessary. Try multiple techniques and vary the presentation or format from initial instruction.
	 Identify the objective and specific elements to be learned. Build specific knowledge and skills identified in state standards. Target needs based on continuous progress monitoring.
	Activate and build background knowledge.Build on what students already know and expand their knowledge base.Consider cultural and linguistic diversity.
	Reduce the amount of new information presented at one time. Use a logical sequence (e.g., progress from easier to more complex).
	Model or demonstrate procedures.Show how something is done.Think aloud to explain the thinking processes used.
	Provide examples and, when appropriate, nonexamples. Include visual prompts and/or graphic organizers.
	 Maximize students' engagement. Include a variety of ways for children to participate (e.g., response cards). Pace instruction, stop to repeat key ideas, and allow extra time if needed.
	 Check for students' understanding. Ask different levels of questions and encourage students to generate questions. Incorporate sufficient wait time. Provide corrective feedback to help students understand. Adjust instruction so that children are challenged and able to develop new skills.

Opportunities to Practice

- ☐ Provide opportunities for students to practice with teacher support and guidance.
 - Use the appropriate level of materials.
 - Incorporate manipulatives, graphic organizers, and/or hands-on activities.
 - Gradually withdraw support as students become more proficient.

2 of 2 | Handout 2 I: Features of Effective Instruction

Check	for	understan	ıding.
	Check	Check for	Check for understar

- Provide prompts to help students notice, find, and correct errors.
- Help students learn to self-monitor for understanding.
- Clarify misconception and reteach when necessary.
- Include positive, motivating feedback.
- Provide many opportunities for independent practice to promote automaticity, generalization to different contexts, and maintenance.
 - Initially provide support during independent practice.
 - Integrate practice of new knowledge and skills with those previously taught.
 - Make connections across the curriculum.
 - Frequently monitor students working independently to prevent them from practicing errors.

Feedback

Provide specific, immediate, corrective feedback.
Provide positive, informative feedback in a variety of ways.

References

- Al Otaiba S., Folsom, J. S., Schatschneider, C., Wanzek, J., Greulich, L., Meadows, J., . . . Connor, C. M. (2011). Predicting first-grade reading performance from kindergarten response to tier I instruction. *Exceptional Children*, 77, 453–470.
- Archer, A., & Hughes, C. (2011). Explicit instruction: Effective and efficient teaching. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Askew, S., & Lodge, C. (2000). Gifts, ping-pong, and loops—Linking feedback and learning. In S. Askew (Ed.), *Feedback for learning* (pp. 1–17). New York, NY: Routledge Psychology Press.
- Ball, D. (2016). High-leverage practices. Retrieved from www.teachingworks.org
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2006). *Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment*. London, U1: Granada Learning.
- Brady, S. A. (2011). Efficacy of phonics teaching for reading outcomes: Indications from post-NRP research. In S. Brady, D. Braze, & C. Fowler (Eds.), *Explaining individual differences in reading: Theory and evidence* (pp. 69–96). New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Brophy, J. E., & Good, T. L. (1986). Teacher behavior and student achievement. In M. Wittrock (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching* (pp. 328–375). New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Chard, D., & Kame'enui, E. (2000). Struggling first-grade readers: The frequency and progress of their reading. *The Journal of Special Education*, *34*, 28–38.
- Coyne, M. D., McCoach, D. B., Loftus, S., Zipoli, R., & Kapp, S. (2009). Direct vocabulary instruction in kindergarten: Teaching for breadth vs. depth. *Elementary School Journal*, 110, 1–18.
- Coyne, M. D., McCoach, D. B., Loftus, S., Zipoli, R., Ruby, M., Crevecoeur, Y., & Kapp, S. (2010). Direct & extended vocabulary instruction in kindergarten: Investigating transfer effects. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*.
- Dweck, C. (2006). Mindset. New York, NY: Random House.
- Dweck, C. (2008). Mindset: They new psychology of success. New York, NY: Random House.
- Dweck, C. (2016). Growth mindset. *Education Week Spotlight*. Bethesda, MD: Editorial Projects in Education.
- Ellis, E. (1991). SLANT. Lawrence, KS: Edge Enterprises.
- Feather, N. T. (1967). Valence of outcome and expectation of success in relation to task difficulty and perceived locus of control. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 7(4), 372–386.
- Foorman, B. R., Schatschneider, C., Eakin, M. N., Fletcher, J. M., Moats, L. C., & Francis, D. J. (2006). The impact of instructional practices in grades 1 and 2 on reading and spelling achievement in high poverty schools. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 31(1), 1–29.

- Hattie, J. (2009). Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112.
- Kent, S., Wanzek, J., & Al Otaiba, S. (2012). Amount of time in print reading in general education kindergarten classrooms: What does it look like for students at risk for reading difficulties? *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 27(2), 56–65.
- Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, *119*(2), 254–284.
- Kuhn, D., & Dean Jr., D. (2004). Metacognition: A bridge between cognitive psychology and educational practice. *Theory Into Practice*, 43(4), 268–273.
- Marzano, R. J., Pickering, D., & Pollock, J. E. (2001). *Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Mueller, C. M., & Dweck, C. S. (1998). Praise for intelligence can undermine children's motivation and performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 75(1), 33–52.
- National Research Council. (2004). How people learn. Washington, DC, National Academy Press.
- Nyquist, J. B. (2003). *The benefits of reconstructing feedback as a larger system of formative assessment: A meta-analysis* (Unpublished master's thesis). Nashville, TN: Vanderbilt University.
- O'Connor, R. (2014). Teaching word recognition: Effective strategies for students with learning difficulties. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Rosenshine, B. (2012). Principles of instruction: Research-based strategies that all teachers should know. *American Educator*, *36*(1), 12–19.
- Swanson, H. L., Hoskyn, M., & Lee, C. (1999). *Intervention for students with learning disabilities: A meta-analysis of treatment outcomes*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Vaughn Gross Center for Reading and Language Arts at The University of Texas at Austin. (2007). Features of effective instruction. Austin, TX: Author.
- Whitebread, D., Coltman, P., Pasternak, D. P., Sangster, C., Grau, V., Bingham, S., . . . Demetriou, D. (2009) The development of two observational tools for assessing metacognition and self-regulated learning in young children. *Metacognition Learning*, *4*, 63–85.